



Free Questions for HPE6-A69 by dumpshq

Shared by Petty on 22-07-2024

For More Free Questions and Preparation Resources


Check the Links on Last Page

Question 1

Question Type: MultipleChoice

(Scenarios may contain multiple errors which may or may not impact the solution)

Refer to the exhibit.



An engineer has attempted to configure two pairs of switches in the referenced configuration. It is required to implement VSX at the aggregation layer.

The ports of the ArubaOS-CX 8325 switches used for Agg01 and Agg02 are populated as follows:

```
1/1/12 10G SFP+ LC SR 300m MMF Transceiver
1/1/13 10G SFP+ LC SR 300m MMF Transceiver
1/1/48 25G SFP28 5m DAC cable
1/1/49 100G QSFP28 5m DAC cable
1/1/50 100G QSFP28 5m DAC cable
```

The configuration of switch AGG01 includes

```
!
!Version ArubaOS-CX GL.10.04.2000
!export-password: default
hostname Agg01
profile L3-agg
no shutdown
mtu 9198
lag 256
interface 1/1/50
no shutdown
mtu 9198
lag 256
vsx
system-mac 02:01:00:00:20:00
inter-switch-link lag 2
role primary
keepalive peer 192.168.20.2 source 192.168.20.1 vrf KA
linkup-delay-timer 600
vsx-sync aaa acl-log-timer bfd-global bgp copp-policy dhcp-relay dhcp-server dhcp-
snooping dns icmp-tcp lldp loop-protect-global mac-lockout mclag-interfaces neighbor ospf
qos-global route-map sflow-global snmp
ssh stp-global time vsx-global
ip dns server-address 10.25.110.250 vrf mgmt
https-server rest access-mode read-write
https-server vrf mgmt
```

The VSX cluster is not forming. Which modification should you make to resolve the error condition?

Options:

- A- Modify the system interface-group 4 speed tOg command change '25g' to '10g'
- B- Modify the keepalive peer 192.168 20.2 source 192 168.20 1 command, changing 'vrf KA' to 'vrf mgmt'
- C- Edit the vsx-sync command, adding 'keep-alive'
- D- Modify the vsx definition, changing 'inter-switch-link lag 2' to 'inter-switch-link lag 256'


Answer:

A

Question 2

Question Type: MultipleChoice

(Scenarios may contain multiple errors which may or may not Impact the solution > Refer to the exhibit.



An engineer has attempted to configure two pairs of switches in the referenced configuration it is required to implement VSX keep-alive at the aggregation layer.

The ports of the ArubaOS-CS 8325 switches used for Agg01 and Agg02 are populated as follows:

```
1/1/12 10G SFP+ LC SR 300m MMF Transceiver
1/1/13 10G SFP+ LC SR 300m MMF Transceiver
1/1/48 25G SFP28 5m DAC cable
1/1/49 100G QSFP28 5m DAC cable
1/1/50 100G QSFP28 5m DAC cable
```

The configuration of switch AGG01 includes:

```
!  
!Version ArubaOS-CX GL.10.04.2000  
!export-password: default  
hostname Agg01  
profile L3-agg  
no usb  
vrf KA  
ntp server 10.77.77.77  
ntp vrf mgmt  
interface mgmt  
    no shutdown  
    ip static 10.177.177.70/24  
    default-gateway 10.177.177.128  
system interface-group 2 speed 10g  
system interface-group 4 speed 25g  
interface lag 1  
    no shutdown  
    no routing  
    vlan trunk native 1  
    vlan trunk allowed 700-701  
    lacp mode active  
interface lag 2 multi-chassis  
    no shutdown  
    no routing  
    vlan trunk native 1  
    vlan trunk allowed 700-701  
    lag 1  
interface 1/1/48  
    no shutdown  
    vrf attach KA  
    description VSX-KeepAlive  
    ip address 192.168.20.1/30  
interface 1/1/49  
    no shutdown  
    mtu 9198  
    lag 256  
interface 1/1/50  
    no shutdown  
    mtu 9198  
    lag 256  
vsx  
    system-mac 02:01:00:00:20:00  
    inter-switch-link lag 256  
    role primary  
    keepalive peer 192.168.20.2 source 192.168.20.1  
    linkup-delay-timer 600  
    vsx-sync aaa acl-log-timer bfd-global bgp copp-policy dhcp-relay dhcp-server dhcp-  
snooping dns icmp-tcp lldp loop-protect-global mac-lockout mclag-interfaces neighbor ospf  
qos-global route-map sflow-global snmp
```


VSX keep-alive is not working. Which modification should you make to resolve the error condition?

Options:

- A- Edit interface 1/1/48, adding the command 'vpn-instance KA'
- B- Modify the Interface lag 2 command, removing 'multi-chassis'
- C- Modify the Keepalive peer 192.168.20.2 source 192 168.20.1 command, adding 'vrf KA'
- D- Edit the vsx-sync command, adding 'keep-alive'

Answer:


B

Question 3

Question Type: MultipleChoice

The customer is considering Implemented the following VSX configuration that will host an Aruba mobility cluster servicing 9000 dual stack employee devices.

The client's default gateways will be hosted on the VSX stack. The customer is seeking advice about how to ensure ArubaOS-CX VSX best practices have been applied.



```
Agg1# show vsx status
VSX Operational State
```

```
-----
ISL channel      : In-Sync
ISL mgmt channel : operational
Config Sync Status : in-sync
Attribute        Local                Peer
-----
ISL link         lag256                lag256
ISL version      2                    2
System MAC       02:01:00:00:00:00    02:01:00:00:00:00
Platform         8325                 8325
Software Version GL.10.05.0021      GL.10.05.0021
Device Role      primary              secondary
```

```
Agg1# show profiles available
Available profiles
```

```
-----
L3-agg  98304 L2 entries, 120000 Host entries (8190 unique overlay
        neighbors, 48638 unique underlay neighbors), 29696 Route entries
L3-core 32768 L2 entries, 28000 Host entries (12286 unique overlay
        neighbors, 32766 unique underlay neighbors), 163796 Route entries
Leaf    98304 L2 entries, 120000 Host entries (32766 unique overlay
        neighbors, 12286 unique underlay neighbors), 29696 Route entries
        (Default)
Spine   32768 L2 entries, 28000 Host entries (12286 unique overlay
        neighbors, 32766 unique underlay neighbors), 163796 Route entries
```

```
Agg1# show profiles current
Current profile
```

```
-----
L3-core
```

```
Agg-1# show vsx configuration keepalive
```

```
Keepalive Interface : 1/1/45
Keepalive VRF       : KA
Source IP Address   : 192.168.0.0
Peer IP Address     : 192.168.0.1
UDP Port            : 7678
Hello Interval      : 1 Seconds
Dead Interval       : 3 Seconds
```

What advice can you offer the customer? (Select two)

Options:

- A-** The ISL Bandwidth should be upgraded
- B-** Agg-1 and Agg-2's hardware forwarding table profile should be changed to 'L3-agg'.
- C-** The '-system-mac' of Agg-1 should be changed to an unused address from the unicast private address range
- D-** The vsx linkup-delay timer is unnecessarily high; it should be reduced to prevent excessive delay of packet forwarding when a VSX peer joins an existing master.
- E-** The Keepalive interface should be changed to interface LAG2 so there is redundancy through the mobility cluster.
- F-** The keepalive subnet is misconfigured, it has an inappropriate address on Agg-1.

Answer:

B, F

Question 4

Question Type: MultipleChoice

When applying the following access-list to an ArubaOS-CX 6300 switch:

```
10 permit tcp any RADIUS-SERVERS group WEB-PORTS log
20 permit udp any any group DHCP-PORTS log
30 permit udp any any group DNS-PORTS log
40 permit icmp any RADIUS-SERVERS log
50 deny tcp any MANAGEMENT-SERVERS log
60 deny icmp any MANAGEMENT-SERVERS count
70 permit udp any MANAGEMENT-SERVERS eq 162 count
80 permit udp any MANAGEMENT-SERVERS eq 69 log
```

How does this ACL behave on the selected switch? (Select two.)

Options:

- A- The mp traffic to MANAGEMENT-SERVERS group is logged to the event logs
- B- The tftp traffic to MANAGEMENT-SERVERS group is not logged to the event logs.
- C- The snmp-trap traffic to MANAGEMENT-SERVERS is logged to the event logs.
- D- The denied tcp traffic to the MANAGEMENT-SERVERS group is logged to event logs.
- E- The denied tcp traffic to the MANAGEMENT-SERVERS group is not logged to event logs

Answer:

B, E

Question 5

Question Type: MultipleChoice

You have created an OSPF neighbor configuration with ArubaOS-CX 8320 VSX and a third-party switch. The OSPF peering fails. In the event logs you see the following entries.

```
2020-10-28:03:14 20.371489|hpe-routing|LOG_WARN|AMM|1/5|OSPFV2|OSPFV2|OSPF 268698624 Packet received with unexpected authentication type
2020-10-28:03:14.20.371503|hpe-routing|LOG_WARN|AMM|1/5|OSPFV2|OSPFV2|Expected authentication type = 0
```

Which statement is true about the above events?

Options:

- A-** The ArubaOS-CX switch requires plain-text authentication with OSPF.
- B-** The third-party switch should be configured with MD5 authentication.
- C-** The ArubaOS-CX switch does not expect authentication with OSPF.
- D-** The third-party switch should be configured with key chain authentication.

Answer:

D

Question 6


Question Type: MultipleChoice

Refer to the exhibit.

Default:
10.100.0.0/16

Secure:
10.101.0.0/16

Dev:
10.102.0.0/16



Aruba CX 6300 switch has routes in three different VRFs as per the example above. The user needs to leak routes between VRF Secure and VRF Dev. and also between VRF default and VRF Dev

The customer is not able to establish routing between directly connected networks 10.100.50.0/24 and 10.102.26.0/24 Which statement is true regarding the routing troubleshooting?

Options:

- A- Multi-protocol BGP routing needs to be defined for route leaking
- B- Route Distinguisher needs to be set to 1 for default VRF.
- C- Route leaking is supported between non-default VRFs only
- D- Route leaking between default and non-default VRFs is supported with Aruba CX 8400.

Answer:

A

Question 7

Question Type: MultipleChoice

You need to establish a baseline summary of an ArubaOS-CX switch resource utilization after enabling new NAE scripts. How should you define a baseline for switch resource consumption? (Select two.)

Options:

- A- show system cpu
- B- top memory

C- show system memory

D- top cpu

Answer:


B, D

Question 8

Question Type: MultipleChoice

(Scenarios may contain multiple errors which may or may not Impact the solution)

Refer to the exhibit.



An engineer has attempted to configure two pairs of switches in the referenced configuration, it is required to implement Multi-Chassis Link Aggregation for each pair of switches

The ports of the Aruba 8325 switches used for Agg01 and Agg02 are populated as follows:

There is an error message stating "incompatible interface." Which interfaces are the cause of the error? (Select two.)

Options:

- A- 1/1/12 10GBaseT SFP*+ 30m Cat6A Transceiver
- B- 1/1/49 40G QSFP+ 15m Active Optical Cable
- C- 1/1/13 10GBaseT SFP+ 30m Cat6A Transceiver
- D- 1/1/48 iGBaseT 100m Cat5e Transceiver
- E- 1/1/50 40G QSFP+ 15m Active Optical Cable

Answer:

B, E

Question 9

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which statement is true about NAE scripts'?

Options:

- A-** The System Resource Monitor is the only script Installed on the system by default.
- B-** The System Resource Monitor and Health Monitor a/e the only scripts installed on the system by default
- C-** No script is installed on the system by default.
- D-** The Health Monitor is the only script installed on the system by default.

Answer:

A

To Get Premium Files for HPE6-A69 Visit

<https://www.p2pexams.com/products/hpe6-a69>

For More Free Questions Visit

<https://www.p2pexams.com/hp/pdf/hpe6-a69>

